

1. Why have you visited the park? Select all that apply.							
	801	Responses	Ratio	Silent		ORVs	
For Art in the Park		64	40.7%	64			
For hiking, walking, running the trails in SUMMER/ NON-WINTER		62	39.4%	62			
For its SUMMER/NON-WINTER scenic beauty and natural environment		56	35.6%	56			
For snowshoeing on snowshoe trails		54	34.3%	54			
For ATV use to access Trail 2/railroad grade		52	33.1%			52	
For its WINTER scenic beauty and natural environment		50	31.8%	50			
For ATV use to access local businesses		47	29.9%			47	
For its history		44	28.0%	44			
For hiking, walking, running the trails in WINTER		41	26.1%	41			
For recreational ATV use within the park		41	26.1%			41	
For snowmobile use to access Trail 2/railroad grade		39	24.8%			39	
For cross country skiing		38	24.2%	38			
For snowshoeing on ski trails		35	22.2%	35			
For snowmobile use to access local businesses		34	21.6%			34	
For walking your dog in the SUMMER/NON-WINTER		29	18.4%	29			
For recreational snowmobile use within the park		29	18.4%			29	
I have not visited the park		25	15.9%	25			
For walking your dog in the WINTER		22	14.0%	22			
For riding mountain/fat tire bikes on the trails in the SUMMER/NON-WINTER		20	12.7%	20			
Other		12	7.6%				
For riding fat tire bikes on the trails in WINTER		7	4.4%	7			
Total		157		547	68%	242	30%

1. Why have you visited the park?	History Mining	Nature	Activ ities	New Activ ites	Sum mer	Win ter	ORVs	Deer Hunt	City	A.D.A.	Publi city	Notes	###
Answer													1
that's the problem-dog poop on the trails		-	-										2
where is it ?											-		3
i have to use a wheelchair and the park is in no way wheelchair accessible.			-		-					+			4
Area I used to play in as a child	+										+		5
to help with clean up			+										6
pose for steve frank's photos		+											7
Have never been											-		8
For picking apples, berries, mushrooms and other edibles			+		+								9
Horse back riding the trails			+										10
To see the beautiful.		+									+		11
Accessing compost site											+		12
climb down inside fresh cave-ins											-		13
Snowshoeing off trails			+			+							14
Snowshoeing off-trail			+			+							15
The adventure			+										16
x country with dog			+			+							17
Comments	1	3	9	0	2	3	0	0	0	1	6		
Positive	1	2	7		1	3				1	3		
+/-													
Negative		1	2		1						3		

2. Think about the following statements and **EXISTING features** of the park and tell us **how important they are to improve** using the scale provided.

1 = Very Important, 2 = Important, 3 = Somewhat Important, 4 = Not Important

					Sorted by Importance	
	1	2	3	4	Imp	Not
Scenic beauty and natural environment	54%	26%	13%	7%	80%	20%
Signage and interpretation (history and directional)	34%	43%	14%	9%	77%	23%
Preserve, protect and promote mining history and heritage	44%	31%	17%	9%	75%	25%
Signage and interpretation (beauty and natural environment)	31%	37%	21%	11%	68%	32%

3. While you may feel all **EXISTING features** are very important, **funding** is limited. Please rank improvements to EXISTING features with

1 being your top funding priority and 4 being your lowest funding priority.

	Top Priority					Top	Low
	1	2	3	4			
Scenic beauty and natural environment	40%	27%	22%	11%		67%	33%
Preserve, protect and promote mining history and heritage	41%	24%	13%	22%		64%	36%
Signage and interpretation (history and directional)	13%	30%	41%	16%		43%	57%
Signage and interpretation (beauty and natural environment)	6%	20%	23%	51%		26%	74%

4. Think about the following statements and **EXISTING SUMMER/NON-WINTER recreational features** of the park and tell us **how important they are to improve** using the scale provided.

1 = Very Important, 2 = Important, 3 = Somewhat Important, 4 = Not Important

	1	2	3	4		Imp	Not
Trails for hiking, walking and running	44%	38%	12%	6%		82%	18%
Park entrances / access to the park	30%	44%	20%	6%		74%	26%
Trails for walking your dog	22%	36%	24%	18%		57%	43%
Art in the Park	24%	32%	20%	24%		56%	44%
Trails for mountain/fat tire biking	16%	40%	26%	18%		56%	44%
Trails for ATV use to access local businesses	40%	12%	17%	31%		52%	48%
Trails for ATV use to access Trail 2/railroad grade	41%	11%	20%	28%		52%	48%
Trails for recreational ATV use within the park	36%	10%	11%	44%		45%	55%

5. While you may feel all **EXISTING SUMMER/NON-WINTER recreational features** are very important, **funding** is limited.

1 being your top funding priority and 8 being your lowest funding priority.

	Top Priority								Top	Low
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8		
Trails for hiking, walking and running	40%	15%	6%	8%	10%	11%	6%	3%	70%	30%
Park entrances / access to the park	10%	11%	16%	27%	16%	7%	11%	1%	64%	36%
Art in the Park	6%	13%	15%	14%	10%	4%	7%	30%	48%	52%
Trails for mountain/fat tire biking	3%	11%	11%	24%	27%	11%	10%	3%	48%	52%
Trails for ATV use to access local businesses	14%	17%	13%	2%	6%	32%	15%	1%	46%	54%
Trails for ATV use to access Trail 2/ railroad grade	13%	21%	6%	4%	8%	15%	29%	3%	45%	55%
Trails for walking your dog	3%	9%	15%	17%	19%	13%	11%	12%	45%	55%
Trails for recreational ATV use within the park	11%	3%	16%	3%	5%	4%	10%	46%	34%	66%

6. Think about the following statements and **EXISTING WINTER recreational features** of the park and tell us **how important they are to improve** using the scale provided.

1 = Very Important, 2 = Important, 3 = Somewhat Important, 4 = Not Important

	1	2	3	4		Imp	Not
Trails for snowshoeing on snowshoe trails	48%	34%	11%	7%		82%	18%
Trails cross country skiing	43%	31%	18%	8%		74%	26%
Park entrances / access to the park	40%	31%	23%	6%		71%	29%
Trails for snowshoeing on ski trails	30%	37%	21%	12%		67%	33%
Trails for hiking, walking and running	34%	31%	22%	13%		66%	34%
Trails for snowmobile access to Trail 2/railroad grade	40%	15%	20%	25%		55%	45%
Trails for snowmobile access to local businesses	39%	16%	18%	27%		55%	45%
Trails for walking your dog	18%	29%	30%	24%		46%	54%
Trails for recreational snowmobile use within the park	31%	11%	17%	41%		42%	58%
Trails for mountain/fat tire biking	15%	22%	34%	28%		38%	62%

7. While you may feel all **EXISTING WINTER recreational features** are very important, **funding** is limited.

1 being your top funding priority and 10 being your lowest funding priority.

	Top Priority											Top	Low
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10			
Trails for snowshoeing on snowshoe trails	10%	14%	14%	21%	18%	8%	5%	5%	5%	5%	3%	75%	25%
Trails for cross country skiing	22%	12%	10%	11%	7%	12%	16%	5%	5%	1%		62%	38%
Trails for hiking, walking and running	17%	8%	9%	12%	13%	7%	7%	5%	10%	10%		60%	40%
Trails for snowshoeing on ski trails	2%	14%	12%	12%	17%	19%	12%	6%	4%	1%		57%	43%
Park Entrances / access to the park	12%	5%	6%	21%	9%	12%	17%	5%	5%	8%		54%	46%
Trails for snowmobile use to access local businesses	10%	14%	17%	2%	3%	5%	6%	31%	13%	0%		46%	54%
Trails for snowmobile use to access Trail 2/railroad grade	12%	21%	6%	3%	3%	5%	3%	12%	33%	1%		45%	55%
Trails for walking your dog	1%	4%	6%	8%	19%	15%	11%	9%	12%	15%		38%	62%
Trails for recreational snowmobile use within the park	14%	3%	15%	2%	1%	3%	3%	6%	6%	48%		34%	66%
Trails for mountain/fat tire biking	0%	5%	5%	7%	11%	14%	21%	17%	8%	12%		28%	72%

8. Think about the following statements for **NEW features** of the park and tell us **how important they are** to providing using the scale provided.

1 = Very Important, 2 = Important, 3 = Somewhat Important, 4 = Not Important

	1	2	3	4		Imp	Not
Events (sponsored races, festivals, activities, classes etc)	39%	36%	14%	11%		75%	25%
Restrooms	39%	32%	16%	13%		71%	29%
Landscaping to provide a buffer from roadways and neighborhoods	13%	32%	35%	20%		45%	55%
Buildings for park maintenance use	12%	30%	34%	24%		42%	58%
Buildings for public use	15%	23%	31%	32%		38%	62%
Paved Parking Lot	14%	13%	34%	38%		27%	73%

9. While you may feel all **NEW features** are very important **funding** is limited.

Please rank development of NEW features with 1 being your top funding priority and 6 being your lowest funding priority.

	Top Priority							Top	Low
	1	2	3	4	5	6			
Restrooms	42%	24%	16%	8%	5%	4%	82%	18%	
Events (sponsored races, festivals, activities, classes etc.)	34%	19%	10%	15%	12%	10%	63%	37%	
Buildings for park maintenance use	4%	17%	23%	18%	24%	14%	44%	56%	
Landscaping to provide buffer from roadways and neighborhoods	10%	18%	13%	24%	22%	13%	41%	59%	
Buildings for public use	6%	12%	23%	25%	18%	16%	41%	59%	
Paved Parking Lot	4%	9%	16%	11%	18%	42%	29%	71%	

10. Think about the following statements for **NEW SUMMER/NON-WINTER recreational features** of the park and tell us **how important they are** to providing using the scale provided. If you have other ideas please provide those in the comment section.

1 = Very Important, 2 = Important, 3 = Somewhat Important, 4 = Not Important

	1	2	3	4		Imp	Not
Picnic areas	30%	42%	20%	8%		72%	28%
Mountain bike terrain trails	15%	37%	31%	17%		52%	48%
Fenced in dog park	20%	27%	22%	31%		47%	53%
ATV trails for recreational use within the park	31%	12%	8%	48%		43%	57%
Lighted trails	20%	23%	31%	27%		43%	57%
Fishing opportunities	13%	24%	24%	38%		38%	62%
Paved trails	15%	17%	28%	40%		32%	68%
Tent camping sites	6%	24%	28%	42%		30%	70%
Disc / Frisbee golf	4%	22%	34%	39%		27%	73%
Exercise stations along trails	8%	13%	25%	55%		20%	80%

10. Think about the following statements for NEW SUMMER/NON-WINTER recreational features of the park and tell us how important they are to providing using the scale provided. If you have other ideas please provide those in the comment section. - Comments	History Mining	Nature	Activities	New Activities	Summer	Winter	ORVs	Deer Hunt	City	A.D.A.	Publicity	Notes	
													18
Answer													19
I'd like to see the park maintain its natural beauty as much as possible, without paving anything. Just use more natural (crushed limestone, for instance, or other water permeable materials). And no motorized vehicles of any kind.		+		+/-			-						20
It just doesn't make sense to invest in ATV opportunities in the park. They shouldn't be allowed. There are plenty of opportunities for ATVs outside the park.							-						21
Stop the erosion from the soil that was dumped at the Little League Park last summer, 2014. The erosion has already damaged one pond and will do more damage if not correctly quickly.		+										Little League Park	22
Not very many of these are applicable in my opinion. I would like to see more put into the downtown depot park, such as a playground area.													23
Adding too much variety will change the feel of the park. Keep on pace with trails, nature, art and history.												The MMHP is bigger than the developed trails.	24
Picnic area's and camping can enhance the experience where, Disc golf will add an out of character element that will take away from the park. There are other locations like Norrie park for fishing and disk golf.	+	-	+										24
Lighted trails would create light pollution making star gazing difficult.													25
Mixed feelings on paved trails. Access for all is important but pavement adds a manufactured and less natural feel. Still access for all is important				+/-									25
there should NO ATV or snowmobile use in the Park at all							-						26
Absolutely NO ATVs in the park! It's in the middle of town! Nor do I want people camping there.				+/-			-						27
It would be great to have fishing in town.				+								Water quality & fish analysis	28
how about complying with the A.D.A. TO make the park wheelchair accessible,for both power and manual chairs			-							+			29
ATV should not be allowed in the park!							-						30
A paved trail throughout the ENTIRE park would be nice to connect all the locations with the downtown and the trailhead to the new biking trail.				+									31
No access for ATV's, they have plenty of trails to ride on.							-						32
Paved trails (along with a paved parking lot and restrooms) will make the HISTORY and interpretive signs/displays of the Miners Memorial HERITAGE Park accessible for the handicapped/elderly/infirm. All the forms of recreation are secondary to the history.	+		+/-	+/-						+			33
Poop stations to pickup bags and deposit same after use.				+									34
We have a large equestrian population in our area, what about being able to have horses use the trails?				+								Horses	35
Natural Midwest prairie field for butterflies , bees, birds., which the paved path goes through for all to enjoy.				+									36
Not too keen on the dog enhancement as humans really don't pick up the dog poop.				-									37
Cave in area's properly fenced with danger signs should be required and done before any other improvements are even considered. The city said they didn't have money for fences so how can they be money for any of the lists above? Safety first!	+								-			Safety First! City has \$ for safety. No city funding for Comp Plan improvements.	38
Can we please have a local park without motors! NO ATVs or SNOWMOBILES. Just a place to feel at peace in nature. Dog park would be helpful for the area and could generate funds as many dog parks charge a daily useage fee.				+			-						39
Make it into a training area to teach youth snowmobile and ATV safety.							+						40
it needs to comply with the A.D.A for handicapped and wheelchair users										+			41
Warning signs and fencing needed around cave areas is very much needed before any other improvements	+											Safety First!	42
You folks need to work on improving the roads before adding lighting and pavement to trails. Wake up already!!!									-				43
A dog park would be nice; most of these things can be put on the back burner.				+/-									44
It is a rustic park NO need for paved trails or lights				-									45
THE MEMORIAL is the MOST important new feature that is needed; the thing behind the name of the park! I am alarmed that it's not mentioned in this survey!												The "Memorial"! The headframe?	46
Our mission is to create a NON-MOTORIZED park, so why are ATV and snowmobile amenities even in this survey? Eradicating the ugly, rutted up, muddy, criss-crossing ATV/snowmobile trails is a VERY IMPORTANT improvement that is needed.	+	+					-						46
It would be great to see exercise stations - and that may improve the park's grant opportunities. I would love it if ATV and snowmobile use was banned in the park and in the city proper. Let Hurley be noisy and filled with drunken idiots. Ironwood should start to take itself seriously if it wants to attract families and people of retirement age.				+			-						47
There just us not that many people that live close to the park, dwindling population is this areas biggest problem and tossing money at parks will not help				-									48
Safety around caving grounds need to be addressed												Safety First!	49
Persons using the park must have dogs on a leash. It would be nice for walkers and dogs to stay off the groomed ski trails.especially persons with heavy hiking boots. Its terrible for the skate skiers				+/-								Off leash hours & rules in MMHP + Dog Park	50
If it is supposed to be a rustic park, why would you waste money on black top trails?				+								Black Top Trails? Bike trails with limestone.	51
Paved trails? Lighted trails? Fishing? Where do you think there is a sustainable fish population in the park? Why are we worrying about paved and lighted trails when the streets in town could use upgrades in both?				-					-			Not trails or streets but both.	52
The more pavement and lights you add the less historical value there is. This is a rich environment and pavement is ugly and destroys nature.	-	-		-									53
we come from out of town and we love to atv to ironwood would be great to go thre the park							+						54
Comments	6	5	4	18	0	0	11	0	3	3	0		
Positive	5	3	1	8			2			3			
+/-			2	5									
Negative	1	2	1	5			9		3				

11. While you may feel all **NEW SUMMER/NON-WINTER recreational features** are very important **funding** is limited.

Please rank development of NEW SUMMER/NON-WINTER recreational features with 1 being your top funding priority and 10 being your lowest funding priority.

	Top Priority												
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10		Top	Low
Picnic areas	16%	21%	21%	18%	11%	6%	4%	3%	1%	1%		86%	14%
Mountain bike terrain trails	9%	12%	15%	17%	12%	13%	9%	8%	3%	3%		65%	35%
Lighted trails	13%	12%	16%	11%	10%	7%	7%	9%	11%	5%		61%	39%
Fenced in dog park	17%	13%	9%	7%	4%	10%	9%	7%	8%	16%		50%	50%
Paved trails	8%	9%	7%	10%	9%	9%	7%	10%	19%	13%		43%	57%
Fishing opportunities	2%	13%	8%	6%	13%	10%	13%	16%	12%	6%		43%	57%
Tent camping sites	1%	8%	9%	11%	13%	14%	8%	17%	15%	3%		43%	57%
ATV trails for recreational use within the park	31%	1%	3%	3%	3%	5%	1%	2%	8%	42%		42%	58%
Disc / Frisbee golf	1%	3%	7%	12%	17%	6%	22%	12%	13%	6%		40%	60%
Exercise stations along trails	1%	8%	4%	6%	7%	20%	19%	17%	12%	6%		26%	74%

12. Think about the following statements for **NEW WINTER recreational features** of the park and tell us **how important they are** to providing using the scale provided. If you have other ideas please provide those in the comment section.

1 = Very Important, 2 = Important, 3 = Somewhat Important, 4 = Not Important

	1	2	3	4		Imp	Not
Lighted trails	23%	29%	25%	24%		52%	48%
Snowmobile trails for recreational use within the park	34%	10%	12%	45%		43%	57%
Fenced in dog park	15%	23%	27%	35%		38%	62%
Fat tire bike terrain trails	13%	22%	39%	27%		34%	66%
Ice fishing opportunities	10%	20%	25%	45%		30%	70%
Snowboarding terrain features	10%	15%	24%	50%		25%	75%
Exercise stations along trails	4%	13%	24%	59%		17%	83%
Tent camping sites	4%	9%	24%	62%		13%	87%
Disc / Frisbee golf	1%	11%	31%	57%		12%	88%

12. Think about the following statements for NEW WINTER recreational features of the park and tell us how important they are to providing using the scale provided. If you have other ideas please provide those in the comment section. - Comments	History Mining	Nature	Activ ties	New Activ ites	Sum mer	Win ter	ORVs	Deer Hunt	City	A.D.A.	Publi city	Notes	55
Answer													56
I'm unclear what type of bike you're thinking of regarding "Fat tire bikes" If it's a bicycle you're referring to, then yes, somewhat important, if it's a motor bike, then not important - noisy, disturbs the experience of walking in nature. Thanks for this survey.				+			-						57
Would prefer no motorized vehicles.							-						58
Once again, snowmobile trails are not a compatible use in the park. They shouldn't be allowed. There are plenty of opportunities for them outside the park.							-						59
Sledding hill- Very important				+								Sledding Hill	60
Stick to trails for winter. I'm not opposed to limited snowmobile use, to pass through to the main trail.							+						61
there should NO ATV or snowmobile use in the Park at all							-						62
No snowmobiles. It's in the middle of town!							-						63
Concentrate on a single winter event or activity to focus attention.				+									64
make it A.D.A. compliant for wheelchair use for both power and manual wheelchairs				+						+			65
Ice Fishing??? There are no bodies of water within the park boundaries that offer the type of fishing enjoyment of other local bodies of water.				-									66
There is no room for snowmobiles in such a small park...the noise of 1 snowmobile can be heard throughout the whole park, defeating the purpose of being in nature.		+					-						67
half of these questions in this survey are redundant													68
NONE of these new winter recreational features have any importance.				-									69
a)Solar lighting												scenic overlooks and picture taking.	70
b)pass through trail for motorized- main trails are available outside of the park- Access should be provided for scenic overlooks and picture taking.				+			-						
Plenty of places to snowboard and snowmobile already.							-						71
winter time is understandable that handicapp wont be able to access the park													72
Seriously? Ice fishing on caved areas with pooling water??? How dangerous and crazy is that?				-		-							73
Leave snowboarding recreation for ski hills				-									74
THE MEMORIAL is the most important new feature that is needed.													75
Our mission is to created a NON-MOTORIZED park, so why is snowmobile use within the park even being considered?	+						-						
? this survey is confusing.... Frisbee golf in the winter?				-		-							76
Tent camping?													77
Just cause we can get a grant to add things to the park doesnt make it free, and none of these ideas will create tourism during the winter				-		-						Grant Commitments. Ice sculpting?	
Representatives from motorized and non motorized groups should have been able to come up with questions for this survey. Very unfair.													78
Again... fishing and lighted trails? There are better projects for money to be spent on.				-									79
Comments	1	1	0	12	0	3	10	0	0	1	0		
Positive	1	1		5			1			1			
+/-													
Negative				7		3	9						

13. While you may feel all **NEW WINTER recreational features** are very important **funding** is limited.

Please rank development of NEW WINTER recreational features with 1 being your top funding priority and 9 being your lowest funding priority.

	Top Priority										Top	Low
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9			
Lighted trails	27%	23%	9%	8%	8%	2%	6%	8%	8%		68%	24%
Fat tire bike terrain trails	8%	17%	18%	15%	10%	13%	7%	6%	4%		59%	31%
Fenced in dog park	16%	15%	13%	10%	11%	5%	8%	6%	17%		54%	36%
Snowmobile trails for recreational use within the park	34%	6%	4%	3%	3%	2%	1%	10%	36%		48%	49%
Ice fishing opportunities	6%	11%	11%	17%	8%	11%	13%	13%	8%		46%	45%
Snowboarding terrain features	3%	15%	14%	13%	17%	16%	13%	7%	3%		45%	38%
Exercise stations along trails	1%	7%	8%	13%	18%	17%	17%	11%	8%		29%	53%
Tent camping sites	3%	5%	10%	10%	8%	18%	21%	20%	6%		27%	65%
Disc / Frisbee golf	1%	1%	13%	11%	16%	15%	15%	19%	10%		25%	59%

14. Best practices indicate motorized and non-motorized trails should be separated for a number of reasons. However, this may not always be possible based on any number of factors. If after all efforts have been exhausted to keep the two types of trails separated and it wasn't possible, how acceptable would a shared motorized and non-motorized trail be?

	Very Acceptable	Acceptable	Somewhat Acceptable	Not Acceptable		+ Acceptable	– Acceptable
Count of respondents selecting the option.	53	25	31	48		78	79
Percent of the total respondents selecting the option.	34%	16%	20%	31%		49.7%	50.3%

History Mining	Nature	Activites	New Activites	Sum mer	Win ter	ORVs	Deer Hunt	City	A.D.A.	Publi city	Notes	80
<p>14. Best practices indicate motorized and non-motorized trails should be separated for a number of reasons. However, this may not always be possible based on any number of factors. If after all efforts have been exhausted to keep the two types of trails separated and it wasn't possible, how acceptable would a shared motorized and non-motorized trail be? - Comments</p>												
Answer												81
Motorized vehicles could be dangerous to walkers and bicyclists and would be a loud, major disturbance to a nature experience.												82
Need to maximize separation to the largest extent possible. Final preference is for no motorized vehicles.												83
Dual use is unpleasant and unsafe for non-motorized users.												84
We have plenty of motorized trails in the area keep the MMHP free of all motorized equipment.												85
It will work												86
Almost all of my trips to the park are with my three, young children. For their safety I would not want motorized vehicles to share the trails we would use. I feel safe letting them explore on their own within the park even if they are just beyond my view, that would be taken away if an atv/snowmobile would be "allowed" to zip around the park in the same areas.												87
would need warning signs for areas that both are allowed												88
A shared trail would be dangerous, a shared crossing would be more acceptable.												89
Separate when possible												90
A small portion of trail to link motorized to the rest of the motorized trail system would be acceptable												91
there should NO ATV or snowmobile use in the Park at all												92
Motorized trails don't belong in the park! It's in the middle of town!												93
I would want to be sure all efforts had been exhausted.												94
Dust, noise and dangerous, the park needs to be a quite area for walking, hiking, skiing, snowshoeing etc.												95
It just doesn't make sense to me. If this is the case I will never use the trails.												96
Unfortunately, we have to live with the two being together. Letting the snowmobiles have any access to the park gives unspoken carte blanche to fresh powder fever. Unless snowmobiling is prohibited, it's a free for all. Signs don't work by themselves. The city commission must insist that public safety is involved for enforcement.												97
There is a difference between CO2 and carbon monoxide												98
power and manual wheelchairs should have access to park and trails. we should,nt have to be worried if an atv is going to hit us. and it needs to be A.D.A. compliant.												99
There are communities all over the country with shared trail systems. It's time the non-motorized groups learn how to work with the motorized groups instead of carrying on with the all or nothing attitudes. Many of these trails have been around longer and been used by the motorized groups longer than the people who are now trying to dictate uses of the park. It's time those people learn about sharing rather than taking for their own desires.												100
I would say that this would be acceptable only if people from each group respect each other. Sadly, that is not the case sometimes. Perhaps if there were some sort of buffer between the two trails for safety sake and barriers to keep motorized vehicles off of walking trails.												101
I've had some bad snowshoeing experiences on shared trails where we were run off trails by snowmobiles. Also how do you define motorized? 4-wheelers, side by sides, trucks, etc.												102
almost completely NOT acceptable												103
Motorized vehicles ruin the whole experience for non-motorized...they are loud and fast and EVERYONE is aware of their presence.												104
Users of motorized vehicles are usually not aware of the quiet walkers, skiers, etc. so they probably don't think it is a big deal. I used to try to walk my dogs and kids in that area (before it was a park) and I had to stop because of the noise and ATV/snowmobiles zooming by.												105
Keep all motorized equipment out of MMHP.												106
Park does not need a Motorized trail system for local parents to send their young unsupervised snowmobilers after school.												107
Motorized sports do not belong in city parks. Both ATVs and snowmobiles emit fumes and noise, parks are for enjoying nature. The silent sports do not interrupt nature, snowmobiles are loud and big pollutants. Why would you allow them inside CITY parks?												108
Snowmobiles would ruin the groomed ski trail. Little sections here and there seemed to be ok if the sleds stayed off the groomed trail.												109
Trails are multi use all over the states. It works and people just need to get along.												110
shared trails work in other communities. the city of ironwood can not afford to turn away any type of tourism or draw to our area.												111
Walking on a trail used by ATVs and Snowmobiles is not fun. Especially since it always seems to be important that the snowmobilers have trail access to bars. I've almost been rundown on more than one occasion and have always wondered if the driver had been drinking. Again they have such a large trail system already.												112
People need to get along. Multi-use trails work everywhere else.												113
as long as it is compliant with the A.D.A for handicqp and wheelchair users												114
Don't re-invent the wheel. Sharing trails, grants, volunteers is not a new concept.												115
I think there are plenty of atv/snowmobile trail around the city and park where the need for more non motorized trails is higher in the list.												116
Lots of trails around the USA that have shared motorized and non-motorized trails. Best management practices are based on who is writing them and how biased they themselves are and what there hidden agenda really encompasses.												117
Make it totally non-motorized.												118
Everyone should be able to enjoy the park and be given equal opportunity to enjoy this beautiful space												119
It works in other communities. Ironwood needs to realize motorized brings money to local businesses												120
Atv/snowmobile use is also a popular recreation in the area. Maybe a speed limit should be imposed within the park while using the trails.												121
It depends on which trails and what circumstances you are talking about. We once talked about using golf carts to take handicapped individuals to some of the historical sites. That's one thing. Having a snowmobile race towards me on the same trail while I'm snowshoeing, as happened to me the one time I tried snowshoe running on the ski trail, is totally unacceptable. This is a non-motorized park; all motorized trails should be eliminated or rerouted.												122
Too dangerous. Had people come out of wooded areas in Houghton county to walk right in front of my ATV. Won't use it. Will stay in WI if need be.												123
It's an accident waiting to happen.												124
The city needs to LEAD. Leading means that sometimes - somebody doesn't get what they want - Snowmobiles and ATV are noisy, dirty, polluting, and a hazard. There have been too many times that I was run off a trail while walking my dogs, there are kids riding around who are underage with NO parental supervision, the cops do NOTHING to enforce the laws and half the time - the "motorized sports enthusiasts" act like they make the rules. Ban them from the park.												125
Its the only option we can afford												126
In the case that there is no other option, but I would say that non motorized will have priority												127
It works in other areas so there should be no reason it wouldn't work in our area.												128
we are to small of a community to exclude any group of people wanting to enjoy an area												129
It works in other places,why not here?												130
Trails are shared all over Michigan, Wisconsin, and other states. I have ridden on many. Now is the time that all groups nbeed to work together rather than fight with each other.												131
Shared trails are absolutely fine, everyone should have respect for all types of recreation. If you aren't willing to share, then get out!												132
We share trails all the time in other parts of the U.P.												133
I really wish i could say it would not be a big deal. I see this being a bigger problem in the winter. There is just too much tension between those two groups in this area and I do not see the two sharing very well.												134
I think trails should be separated but as an avid ATV rider I can accept having them together with strict rules for all involved to follow.												135
i think if you make it happen it will and tell people if you have to get along, this can work all motorized should just yelled to people.it can work												136
have to keep safety in mind. have sign showing rules of engagement and who yields to who												137
Comments												
0 1 0 0 0 0 54 0 0 1 0												
Positive 1 21 1												
+/- 6												
Negative 27												

15. Should non-motorized trails be separated? For example, should snowshoe and cross country ski trails be separated?
Should hiking/walking/running trails and biking trails be separated? Please provide any additional comments to clarify your answer.

	Number of Response(s)	Response Ratio
Yes	64	40.7%
No	93	59.2%
No Responses	0	0.0%
Total	157	100%
60 Comment(s)		

16. Do you think the **City Deer Management Program (deer hunt)** should take place in the park?

	Number of Response(s)	Response Ratio
Yes	66	42.0%
No	91	57.9%
No Responses	0	0.0%
Total	157	100%

18. Please select all that apply.	Number of Response(s)	Response Ratio
I am a resident of Ironwood	88	56.0%
I am a property owner in Ironwood	77	49.0%
I am a business owner in Ironwood	36	22.9%
I live outside of Ironwood, but in the area	51	32.4%
None of the statements above describe me	5	3.1%
19. I am	Number of Response(s)	Response Ratio
Male	90	57.3%
Female	67	42.6%
20. I am	Number of Response(s)	Response Ratio
12 and under	1	<1%
13-17	0	0.0%
18-20	2	1.2%
21-35	24	15.2%
36-54	62	39.4%
55-79	67	42.6%
80+	1	<1%
Total	157	100%

17. Do you have any additional comments or is there anything else we should know? - Responses	History Mining	Nature	Activ ties	New Activ ites	Sum mer	Win ter	ORVs	Deer Hunt	City	A.D.A.	Publi city	Notes	198
Answer													199
I want to pick I don't care													200
On last question - ideally, CC ski trails should be separate from hiking & dogs, but that's already available at ABR for those more serious. For this park I think it's okay to have them together				+/-									201
no													202
Keep the motorized uses out. Just doesn't make sense for them to be in a city park. It is not safe and it is a poor perception of what the intent of the park is.							-						203
People are uncomfortable walking on trails with hunters holding weapons in the trees around them.								-				Who are the stakeholders?	204
Erosion at Little League park needs to be stopped as soon as possible before more of the MMHP is destroyed. The city should also stop dumping street sweepings and snow into MMHP.		+											205
n/a													206
I feel that the Deer hunt should ONLY be allowed in the park IF the reason is to keep predators out. AND if the hunt IS taking place that vivid/clear signage is used to warn users about the hunt.												Geocaching	
I don't have a dog, but feel that a dog park in Ironwood is a great plan. I have seen them put to great use in other communities.	+		-	+				+					207
Would be great to see addtl signage in the park - mile markers, trail markers and historical too.													
Enjoyed the geo-caching event last summer and art in the park a lot! Keep up the great work!													
Again, I think they have done a wonderful job with this new park. What it is being used for is of high priority, such as walking, and skiing. Other than maintenance and expansion, if possible, for longer trails please leave it. Curry park isn't full very often so more camping sites is not necessary. Please do more with the Depot site to increase traffic there, such as a playground to have families stop there.				+	-							"Do more at Depot Park."	208
I would like to see more historical interpretation , connections to the regional trail and the neighborhoods.	+			+									209
Miner's Park is a great opportunity for year round recreation for the whole family. Good luck in your efforts.				+									210
The park is becoming another jewel for Ironwood. Thank you for this opportunity to provide input.	+	+											211
For safety reasons it should be kept out of the park or only allowed during a certain time frame. The whole deer management program needs to be looked at as it is not setup properly to address the deer heard. Its not a deer management program anymore its a local deer hunt.								-					212
I would like to comment on the lack of prep by the City to clean up around the whole city in light of the numerous folks who are suppose to be coming into town. I look around and I am very disappointed in how it looks. There wasn't anything put out there regarding folks taking the time to neaten up their yards etc either. This doesn't put our little city into a good light.												"City cleanup"	213
If it is skilled folks with bow and arrow during a set week and set time.								-					214
A few fruit trees and grouse feed type vegetation would be nice. Everyone likes to see wildlife on their trips and if the land were manged to provide natural foods the animals would stick around				+									215
Please make the park A.D.A. compliant so that all wheelchair and power chairs and any handicapped people can easily access it. It needs to be A.D.A. compliant. The A.D.A IS going to be contacted telling them a new park is being built and it needs to be accessible. Parking included. during the winter the trails and park would be inaccessible for most users,but during the spring,summer and fall we WOULD need access.										+			216
Learn to work together for everyone in the community. Many groups of people have used this park area for years long before the special interest groups attempted a hostile takeover of the area. Everyone can share, and those who do not want to share, should not be involved with deciding use of public land in the community.							+						217
I think this would be a great idea and a way to bring in people that would otherwise not utilize the park. However, safety should be tantamount if this were to occur. Perhaps designated hunting areas during those times. Never should a hunting area overlap any of the trails.								+/-					218
Thanks for the good effort.													219
I don't want to worry about being shot when I walk on the trail.								-					220
these decisions should be made with the greatest available usage by the greatest number of people given top consideration. avoid decisions that would give greater access and preference to individual groups.												Who has numbers? Does this mean we can't create something unique?	221
There should be more resources allotted to identifying, excavating, fencing and acknowledging the mining artifacts withing the park.	+												222
Stop dumping street sweeping and snow dumping that is pushed into MMHP. The erosion at the Little League park need to be stopped before more damage is done.		+										Snow dumps are city property. Doesn't stop us from using in the summer.	223
This happens during a specific season with duration(so many days) areas in the park can be designated. Also this would be a good area for supervised kid/parent or big brother interaction. Also certain Physically challenged stations could be set and used during this period.				+				+		+		Archery Range!	224
An Archery Area within the park, could also be designated for practice for citizens wishing to become more accomplished at the craft.													
If the city of Ironwood cares about the quality of air and water in our parks and our city we need to stop dumping construction debris in the park, dirty snow and swept dirt, eliminate motorized sports from city parks and from illegal trails.		+					-						225
Its sad that existing parks are not kept up. We have trails at Norrie park that are in disrepair and the road to the park is a mess! Fix up what we have first!										-		A private group is involved with MMHP.	226
I know people like to walk their dogs there, but there was so much crap on the trails (even with bags being provided), we finally gave up and went to ABR to ski where dogs are not allowed. Skiing was great if there was enough snow to cover the dog crap each week. When it didn't snow, it got to be really GROSS!!!!				-			-					Need more dog stations/trash cans.	227
The area should be inspected by a qualified mine inspector prior to any more improvements so money spent is not wasted on caving areas. But the most important reason is the safety of our citizens and residents.	+									-			228
i have cross country skied in the park and the dog owners need to clean up after there dogs. ABR has CLEAN trails and people will go there instead, it is worth it.													
ATV and snowmobile use should be allowed in the park, non motorized need to learn to compromise and share. motorized brings money to the area.													
tent camping will only lead to campfires and the threat of a fire within the city.													
although i do enjoy snowshoeing, the trails could be a danger, especially when they are cutting new ones in the dead of winter. that ground is not stable and sink holes are appearing more and more, how do you know what is beneath that snow??				-	-		+			-			229
where the heck are you going to put snowboarding in the park?? and do you realize that there are ski hills struggling to survive in the area? let the boarders go to the hills.													
my last concern is that this survey will not be filled out by enough people to be accurate.													
Thank you for compiling and disseminating this survey.										+			230
The area needs to be made safe prior to any more improvements. Signs need to be erected on mine shaft dangers and fencing (not orange snow fence) needs to be put around all these areas. Once it's inspected by a outside mine inspector, then the city should move forward. The commissioners are approving very dangerous areas before safety measures are put in place.	+									-			231
Just please make the park or at least parts arecompliant with the A.D.A. they have rights to use the park like everyone else,											+		232
The area needs to be inspected by a reliable source for safety reasons. It's called common sense.	+												233
There is a lot of space in ironwood for deer hunting. I don't think the park should be closed for hunting. The wolf population would be a detemrent is the deer herd increased in the park.								+/-					234
The park needs to be multi use. Motorized and non motorized.								+					235
I seldom visit the park, and have curtailed financial support, due to the failure to follow-through on the mission of making it a non-motorized park. I was shocked and embarrassed by the ruttid muddy illegal ATV trails crossing the walking trail at Art in the Park last summer.												Where's Miners Memorial? Dog crap.	
You should know the history of this park began as a desire to create a non-motorized trail system in Ironwood. Also, the catalyst to achieve park status was the miners memorial that was planned. All factions were in support of this feature that seems to have fallen off the radar screen. We will never have a tourist or even a local destination in this park solely on the basis of some trails. The historical features and focal point of the memorial give the park it's reason for being.	+			-			-						236
You are limiting yourself with this narrow focus on trails and inclusion of motorized recreation. Dogs are another detemrent. They create a safety hazard and detract from the natural beauty. As such, they should be prohibited too													
Setting off a bunch of hunters in a park where people go to relax and enjoy nature is plain shortsighted. It will only take one accident to make the city liable and some outside lawyer would make mincemeat out of any argument that the city lawyer would produce.												Manage the Compost site, generate income.	
The park is a beautiful start. Being that the park is intersected by the compost site - the compost site and the policy of pushing everything over the hill has to be addressed.													
You want to find funding for something? Equip and staff the compost site and make it an income generator for the City of Ironwood. Manage the site with bagged compost, wood chips etc being available for purchase. Kindling and firewood for the prosed campsites could be available too. The compost site has become a dumping ground for construction debris, and garbage. 2 camera - one at the entrance and one by the dumping area could solve this. They would have to be unmarked and hidden. Stiff fines need to be handed out and POSTED!		+						-	-				237
Deer hunting properly managed does not create conflicts in use of the park.								+					238
Atv and snowmobiles have plenty of trails around the city.. We need to prioritize in our community health and environment								-					239
The City Deer Hunt should be postponed until the deer herd makes a rebound.								-					240
we can not pick and choose what kind of recreation people participate in - we are not a big enough community to exclude any one group - we need to accept all and do our best to encourage ALL people wanting to enjoy our are to come and play								+				ORVs in a nature park does not attract visitors. A silent sport park adds to the areas attractions.	241
It's time for the city to stop worrying about parks and trails and start focusing on jobs, repairing infrastructure and fixing our streets. Parks and trails should be the last priority. Park are not going to bring more money into the economy. Jobs will!!!!										-			242
If this is a recreational area with bikes, dogs, skiers, atv's, I don't think the hunting would be any good to begin with.								-					243
I have an ATV, boat, canoe, kayak, skis and snow shoes. I enjoy the outdoors. I pay a boat and ATV registration and trail pass. I believe in user fees. However it seems the motor sports enthusiasts pay the fees. The silent sport enthusiasts pay for nothing and don't expect to pay for anything. And many times the fees charged by the State are used for silent sports.				-								General state funds are used for many purposes: business development, recreation promotion, roads, trails, etc. What specific ORV fees have gone to silent sports?	244
I believe the fat tire bikers, kayakers and x country skiers should have to pay trail fees to support their own sports.												The FMMHP Summer and winter grooming costs, advertising costs and volunteer support are paid by donations.	
This should be a park open to multiple users recognizing past land use patterns.												ORVs now have near total street access. Should that be changed to past land use patterns?	
However, the park should also recognize what its initial purpose is-- promote local historical resources. It can't be everything to everyone--snowboarding, fishing, camping, etc.	+			-			+						245
Comments	9	5	7	8	0	2	8	13	7	3	0		
Positive	9	5	2	4			4	4	1	3			
+/-				1				2					
Negative			5	3		2	4	7	6				

Major Themes - FMMHP

Themes in **Bold** are important to and fund worthy by survey participants.
Themes listed in order of survey participants' priorities.

Improve Existing Features - MMHP

Importance	Funding
Scenic beauty and natural environment	Scenic beauty and natural environment
Signage and interpretation (history and directional)	Preserve, protect and promote mining history and heritage
Preserve, protect and promote mining history and heritage	Signage and interpretation (history and directional)

New Features - MMHP

Importance	Funding
Events (sponsored races, festivals, activities, classes etc)	Restrooms
Restrooms	Events (sponsored races, festivals, activities, classes etc.)
Landscaping to provide a buffer from roadways and neighborhoods	Buildings for park maintenance use
Buildings for park maintenance use	Landscaping to provide buffer from roadways and neighborhoods

Improve Existing Features - Summer

Importance	Funding
Trails for hiking, walking and running	Trails for hiking, walking and running
Park entrances/access to the park	Park entrances/access to the park
Trails for walking your dog	Art in the Park
Art in the Park	Trails for mountain/fat tire biking

New Features - Summer

Importance	Funding
Picnic areas	Picnic areas
Mountain bike terrain trails	Mountain bike terrain trails
Fenced in dog park	Lighted trails
ATV trails for recreational use within the park	Fenced in dog park

Improve Existing Features - Winter

Importance	Funding
Trails for snowshoeing on snowshoe trails	Trails for snowshoeing on snowshoe trails
Trails for cross country skiing	Trails for cross country skiing
Park entrances/access to the park	Trails for hiking, walking and running
Trails for snowshoeing on ski trails	Trails for snowshoeing on ski trails

New Features - Winter

Importance	Funding
Lighted trails	Lighted trails
Snowmobile trails for recreational use within the park	Fat tire bike terrain trails
Fenced in dog park	Fenced in dog park
Fat tire bike terrain trails	Snowmobile trails for recreational use within the park